

[authorityresearch.com](http://www.authorityresearch.com)

## The Curse of Psychology. (Psychoanalysis and Psychotherapy)

by  
Dean Gotcher

*"Freud noted that patricide and incest are part of man's deepest nature." (Irvin D. Yalom, The Theory and Practice of Group Psychotherapy)*

Psychology is based upon Sigmund Freud's natural inclination to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the current situation and or people or person was stimulating (imagined or real) and his hatred toward the father's/Father's authority for getting in the way, making "*incest*" and "*patricide*" his standard for all of mankind. If you come under the influence of a psychiatrist you are being *seduced*, *deceived*, and *manipulated* (as one of Thorndike's chickens, Skinner's rats, Pavlov's dog) into participating in and 'justifying' his "*incest*" and "*patricide*." You can deny it but you can not refute it.

*"Cursed be the man that trusteth in man, and maketh flesh his arm, and whose heart departeth from the LORD." Jeremiah 17:5*

*"[H]atred against patriarchal suppression—a 'barrier to incest,' . . . the desire (for the sons) to return to the mother" . . . "culminate(d) in the rebellion of the exiled sons, the collective killing and devouring of the father." "[I]t is not really a decisive matter whether one has killed one's father or abstained from the deed,' if the function of the conflict and its consequences are the same." (Sigmund Freud in Herbert Marcuse, Eros and Civilization: a psychological inquiry into Freud)*

Sigmund Freud's history of the prodigal son is not of the son coming to his senses, *humbling* his *self*, returning home, submitting his *self* to his father's authority, learning his inheritance was not his father's money but his father's love for him (Luke 15:11-24), but of the son (cast out by the father for his immoral (perverse) behavior) joining with his "friends," returning home, killing the father, taking all that was his (the father's), using it to satisfy their carnal desires, i.e., their "*lusts*," killing all the fathers in the land so all the children could be the same, i.e., like them, thereby *affirming* them, i.e., their "*incest*," 'justifying' and supporting their control over them, living off their labor.

*"According to Freud, the ultimate essence of our being is erotic." "Eros is fundamentally a desire for union with objects in the world." "Eros is the foundation of morality." "Freud saw that in the id there is no negation [no parental authority, i.e., no Godly restraint, i.e., no "can not," "must not," "Thou shalt not"], only affirmation and eternity [only the*

child's/student's natural inclination to "lust . . .". "Children have not acquired that sense of shame which, according to the Biblical story, expelled mankind from Paradise, and which, presumably, would be discarded if Paradise were regained [if "lusting . . ." becomes the agenda, i.e., the 'drive' and 'purpose' of life]. "The repression of normal adult sexuality is required only by cultures which are based on patriarchal domination [on doing the father's/Father's will]. "Our repressed desires are the desires we had unrepressed, in childhood; and they are sexual desires." "Parental discipline, religious denunciation of bodily pleasure, . . . have all left man overly docile, but secretly in his unconscious [in his urges and impulses of the 'moment' which are being stimulated by the world] unconvinced, and therefore neurotic [caught between his desire for parental approval and his lust for the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world is stimulating, having a guilty conscience for thinking about or doing the latter]. "The foundation on which the man of the future will be built is already there, in the repressed unconscious; the foundation has to be recovered ['liberated' from the guilty conscience, requiring the negation of the father's/Father's authority]." ([Norman O. Brown](#), *Life Against Death: The Psychoanalytical Meaning of History*)

"The child, contrary to appearance, is the absolute, the rationality of the relationship; he is what is enduring and everlasting, the totality which produces itself once again as such [once he is 'liberated' from the father'/Father's authority to become as he was before the father's/Father's first command, rule, fact, or truth came into his life (separating him from his "self" and the world), "of and for self" and the world only]." (George Hegel, *System of Ethical Life*)

"[T]he 'original sin' must be committed again: We must again eat from the tree of knowledge in order to fall back into the state of innocence." (Marcuse)

"To experience Freud is to partake a second time of the forbidden fruit;" (Brown)

If you reject the father's/Father's authority all you have is your natural inclination to "lust after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' ([dopamine emancipation](#)) that the current situation and/or people or person is stimulating (imagined or real), hating the father's/Father's authority for getting in the way, 'justifying' the negation of the unborn, elderly, innocent, righteous without having a guilty conscience (which the father's/Father's authority engenders).

"For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world." 1 John 2:16

"And he said unto them, Ye are they which justify yourselves before men; but God knoweth your hearts: for that which is highly esteemed among men [lust] is abomination in the sight of God." Luke 16:15

*"The heart is deceitful above all things, and desperately wicked: who can know it?"*  
Jeremiah 17:9

Your heart is *"deceitful ("deceitful above all things")* thinking pleasure, i.e., *"lust"* is the 'purpose' of life, i.e., the standard for good instead of doing the father's/Father's will, making you wicked (*"desperately wicked"*) in your effort to *negate the father's/Father's authority* that gets in the way. You can not see your heart as being wicked, i.e., evil because your *"lust"* for pleasure (your *"lust"* for *"lust"*) is standing in the way, 'justifying' your *hatred toward the father/Father*, i.e., toward the father's/Father's authority for getting in the way. Karl Marx is in your heart, waiting for you to 'justify' him, i.e., *your "self,"* i.e., your *lusts* (your *lust* for pleasure, which the world stimulates as well as your *lust* for others to 'justify' your *lusts*, i.e., to approve of you—*affirmation*) over and therefore against having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline* your *"self"* in order (as in the "old" world order) to do the father's/Father's will, so you can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., can *"lust"* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating without having a *guilty conscience*, i.e., so you can become like him, i.e., become *"self actualized."* *"Lust"* blinds you to the consequence (cost) of *"lusting"* after pleasure. By making *lust "good"* (in your eyes) you make the father's/Father's authority evil, turning good, i.e., *"the Father"* into evil and evil, i.e., *lust* into good. While the flesh, which is temporary might "benefit" (in the 'moment') from such 'reasoning,' the soul, which is eternal is condemned.

Psychology ("the study of the soul" so called) is based upon *lust*, i.e., the psychiatrist's natural inclination to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' (*dopamine emancipation*) that the world stimulates, i.e., *that the current situation and/or people (imagined or real) are stimulating*, 'justifying' *"human nature,"* i.e., *"the lust of the flesh," "the lust of the eyes,"* and *"the pride of life,"* i.e., his *self*, establishing *lust*, i.e., his *self*, i.e., his *self interest* over and therefore against having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* his *self* in order to do right and not wrong according to the father's/Father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth, over and therefore against having to do the father's/Father's will, so he can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., so he can *lust* without having a guilty conscience with his victim's, i.e., his *client's*, i.e., the children's approval, i.e., *affirmation*—using them to 'justify' his *self*, i.e., his *lusts*, establishing his *lust* for *dopamine emancipation* over and therefore against the father's/Father's authority. [The psychiatrist can deny it. But he can not refute it.](#)

*"It is written, Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God."* Matthew 4:4

*"O LORD, I know that the way of man is not in himself: it is not in man that walketh to direct his steps."* Jeremiah 10:23

The soul KNOWS from being *told*, i.e., by faith having to obey established commands, rules, facts, and truth. The flesh by "sense experience," i.e., is subject to stimulus-response. By basing behavior upon stimulus-response (approach pleasure-avoid pain) and impulses and urges (instincts) the psychiatrist makes man (himself) subject to that which is only "*of the world*." When God created Adam, making him a living soul ("*And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.*" Genesis 2:7) he could do to him what he could not do with any animal, i.e., *tell* him right from wrong behavior, i.e., which tree's he was to eat the fruit of and which one he was not (lest he die). No animal can read or write a book, i.e., be *told* or *tell* others what is right and what is wrong behavior. Only man, made in the image of God can. By making his client subject to stimulus-response the psychiatrist makes him subject to his carnal nature, i.e., subject to "*the world*" only (despite the fact he can read and write books, i.e., be *told* and *tell* others what is right and what is wrong behavior—go figure, i.e., who is in denial?). With *lust* being the 'drive' of life, the psychiatrist can only make the 'justification' of "*the lust of the flesh*," "*the lust of the eyes*," and "*the pride of life*" (both his and his client's) the 'purpose.' Perceiving his *self* to be the personification of his client, who like him *lusts* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world, i.e., the current situation and/or people are stimulating, he must 'justify' his clients carnal nature, 'justifying' his *self*, i.e., his natural inclination to *lusts* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world, i.e., the current situation and/or people are stimulating in the process so he can *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates without having a *guilty conscience*—with his client's *affirmation*. Having replace his soul (which is made in the image of God) with that of an animal (subject only to stimulus-response and urges and impulses, making 'reasoning' subject to his carnal nature and the world that stimulates it), he has laid a trap for himself (and his client) that he can not get his *self* out of—dying in his sins, taking his client with him. You can throw the name of the Lord in the mix but it does not change a thing, i.e., the outcome is still the same, eternal death.

*"Their tongue is as an arrow shot out; it speaketh deceit: one speaketh peaceably to his neighbour with his mouth, but in heart he layeth his wait."* Jeremiah 9:8

*"We know how to influence the ... behavior of individuals by setting up conditions which provide satisfaction for needs of which they are unconscious, but which we have been able to determine." "...our potential ability to influence or control the behavior of groups. If we have the power or authority to establish the necessary conditions, the predicted behaviors will follow." "Now that we know how positive reinforcement works [dialoguing opinions, i.e. "feelings" and "thoughts" to a consensus, i.e. to a "feeling" or sensation of "oneness," i.e. a Heresiarchal Paradigm of 'change', i.e. of revolution, circumventing parental authority, overcoming the effect of the father's/Father's authority upon the person, i.e., the guilty conscience], and why negative doesn't' [preaching and teaching commands, rules, facts, and truth to be accepted as is, i.e., as given, and chastening when they are*

disobeyed and/or rejected, i.e. a *Patriarchal Paradigm of revelation*, i.e. of "It is written ..." and "Because I said so," i.e. engendering a *guilty conscience*, i.e., a fear of judgment, condemnation, and rejection for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating] ... 'we can be more deliberate and hence more successful in our cultural design [creating a "new" world order made in the image of man, i.e., subject only to the carnal nature of man]. We can achieve a sort of control under which the controlled, though they are following a code much more scrupulously than was ever the case under the old system, nevertheless feel free. They are doing what they want to do, not what they are forced to do. That's the source of the tremendous power of positive reinforcement—there's no restraint and no revolt. By a careful design, we control not the final behavior, but the inclination to behavior—the motives, the desires, the wished. The curious thing is that in that case the question of freedom never arises." ([Carl Rogers](#), on becoming a person: A Therapist View of Psychotherapy)

"And through covetousness [your lust for the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world, i.e., the current situation and/or people are stimulating] shall they with feigned words [the Greek word πλαστός, i.e., plastos means *plastic words*, i.e., saying one thing while meaning another, i.e., double-speak] make merchandise of you [turning you into "human resource" in order to use you to 'justify'/satisfy their carnal pleasures, i.e., their lusts of the 'moment' that the world is stimulating]." 2 Peter 2:3

Our body naturally produces a chemical called *dopamine* (a neurotransmitter, i.e., a messenger sending information to the brain informing us that we have come in contact with an object in the environment that is engendering pleasure, i.e., stimulating *dopamine emancipation*). For example: a child comes in contact with an object that stimulates pleasure to a nerve ending (on the skin, i.e., finger tip for example)—designed for that purpose. That information is sent to the brain via the child's nervous system with *dopamine* being *emancipated (liberated)* from a nerve ending into a small gap (call a synaptic gap) between the nerves, stimulating the next nerve's receptor—in the brain many nerve endings and receptors (dendrites) are involved (all *emancipating dopamine*) informing the child he has come in contact with something in the environment that is pleasurable. This is "the lust of the flesh," tying the flesh, i.e., the body to the world that stimulates *dopamine emancipation (pleasure)* in the body. The child then looks into the environment (responds to the *dopamine emancipation*) to locate the object that stimulated that pleasure (*dopamine emancipation*). This is "the lust of the eyes." Locating it (which stimulates more *dopamine emancipation*) he then reaches for it in order to have it (control it) in order to stimulate more pleasure (*dopamine emancipation*) in the future. This is "the pride of life" (controlling the environment in order to stimulate *dopamine emancipation* not only in the present but also in the future). The child is not in love with the toy he is in love with the pleasure (*dopamine emancipation*) the toy stimulates. Once it no longer brings him pleasure (*dopamine emancipation*) via the senses (touch, taste, sight, smell,

sound) or in his imagination, i.e., thinking about it, or it gets in his way to something more pleasurable he casts it aside having found something else ("better") to take its place—stimulating *dopamine emancipation* in him. All habitual drugs are tied to *dopamine*, preventing its reuptake, stimulating it, imitating it. Drugs play a key role in psychology.

*"No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon."*

Matthew 6:24

*"[T]he friendship of the world is enmity with God? whosoever therefore will be a friend of the world is the enemy of God."* James 4:4

All the world has to offer is *"the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life,"* i.e., *dopamine emancipation*. It is not that *dopamine emancipation* is evil in and of itself, God created it (that we might have pleasure in His creation). It is when the child establishes it, i.e., *dopamine emancipation*, i.e., pleasure, i.e., his *self* (his *self interest*) over and therefore against doing the father's/Father's will it becomes *lust*, i.e., *lust* is made manifest. It is the father's/Father's authority that requires the child to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* (detox) his *self* in order for him to do right and not wrong according to established commands, rules, facts, and truth, i.e., in order to do the father's/Father's will, establishing the father's/Father's authority over and therefore against *"the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life,"* i.e., over and therefore against the child's *lust* for *dopamine emancipation*. This is why the child becomes angry, i.e., hateful (which also stimulates *dopamine emancipation* in him) when he can not have his way.

*"From whence come wars and fightings among you? come they not hence, even of your lusts that war in your members? Ye lust, and have not: ye kill, and desire to have, and cannot obtain: ye fight and war, yet ye have not, because ye ask not. Ye ask, and receive not, because ye ask amiss, that ye may consume it upon your lusts."* James 4:1-3

It is a dangerous thing to *build relationships* with others on *self interest*, i.e., on *lust*, i.e., on *dopamine emancipation* since they will eventually *"throw you under the bus"* for getting in their way, losing interest in you (when you no longer stimulate *dopamine emancipation* in them) or someone or something "better" comes along—doing to you what you did to the father/Father (for getting in your way, i.e., in the way of your *lust* of the 'moment,' i.e., *dopamine emancipation* that the world, i.e., that the current situation and/or people were stimulating). Psychology is based upon the psychiatrist's *lust* for the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' (*dopamine emancipation*) that the world, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating, hating the father's/Father's authority for getting in the way. By 'justifying' his client's carnal nature, i.e., his client's *lust* for pleasure, i.e., his client's *lust* for *dopamine emancipation*, he 'justifies' his carnal nature, i.e., his *lust* for pleasure, i.e., his *lust* for *dopamine emancipation* as well as his hatred toward

restraint, i.e., toward the father's/Father's authority that gets in the way. *Dopamine emancipation*, i.e., the psychiatrist's *lust* for pleasure is what psychology is all about, using his client to 'justify' his *lusts*, i.e., to support his habit.

When you "call him out" (question him for) his carnal *praxis* his response will be *"It is not about you."* making you assume it is all about his *client* (making his *client's* life "better") when in truth it is *"ALL about him,"* 'liberating' himself from having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* his *self* in order to do right and not wrong according to the father's/Father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth, missing out on the carnal pleasures (*lusts*) of the 'moment' (*dopamine emancipation*) that the world stimulates, having to do the father's/Father's will instead. The *praxis* of psychology began in a garden in Eden, where the first (master) psychotherapist "helped" two "children" 'liberate' their *self* from "the Father's" authority. The *praxis* of psychology, i.e., [Genesis 3:1-6](#) *negates* the father's/Father's authority, i.e., [Hebrews 12:5-11](#), *negating* the *guilty conscience* for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., [Romans 7:14-25](#), *negating* the need for a savior (other than the psychotherapist—saving, i.e., 'redeeming' the children from the father's/Father's authority, 'reconciling' them to the world).

*"It seemed to me it would be a horrible thing to have to profess a set of beliefs, in order to remain in one's profession." "Experience [lust and the world that stimulates it] is, for me, the highest authority." "Neither the Bible nor the prophets, neither the revelations of God can take precedence over my own direct experience." (Rogers)*

*"Without exception, patients [clients] enter group therapy with the history of a highly unsatisfactory experience in their first and most important group—their primary family." "What better way to help the patient recapture the past than to allow him to re-experience and reenact ancient feelings [resentment, hostility] toward parents in his current relationship to the therapist? The therapist [the facilitator of 'change'] is the living personification of all parental images [takes the place of the parent]. Group therapists [facilitators of 'change'] refuse to fill the traditional authority role: they do not lead in the ordinary manner, they do not provide answers and solutions [teach right from wrong according to established commands, rules, facts, and truth], they urge the group to explore and to employ its own resources [to dialogue their 'feelings,' i.e., their carnal desires (*lusts*) and dissatisfactions of the 'moment' in the "light" of the current situation]. The group must feel free to confront the therapist [the facilitator of 'change'], who must not only permit, but encourage, such confrontation [rebellion and anarchy]. He [the patient/client] reenacts early family scripts in the group and, if therapy [[brainwashing](#)—washing from the brain respect for and fear of the father's/Father's authority] is successful, is able to experiment with new behavior, to break free from the locked family role [submitting to the father/Father, i.e., doing the father's/Father's will] he once occupied. ... the patient changes the past by reconstituting it." (Irvin Yalom, *Theory and Practice and Group Psychotherapy*)*

*"We are proud that in his conduct of life man has become free from external authorities, which tell him what to do and what not to do." "All that matters is that the opportunity for genuine activity be restored to the individual; that the purposes of society and of his own become identical." "... to give up 'God' and to establish a concept of man as a being ... who can feel at home in it [the world] if he achieves union with his fellow man and with nature." ([Erick Fromm](#), *Escape from Freedom*)*

*"In the process of history man gives birth to himself. He becomes what he potentially is, and he attains what the serpent—the symbol of wisdom and rebellion—promised, and what the patriarchal, jealous God of Adam did not wish: that man would become like God himself." (Erick Fromm, *You shall be as gods: A radical interpretation of the old testament and its tradition*)*

Since the psychologist perceives his *self* as being the personification of "*the people*" (since he and they both have the same natural inclination to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating—hating restraint, i.e., hating the restrainer, i.e., hating the father's/Father's authority for getting in the way) he perceives himself, i.e., his *self* as being the savior of "the people," "helping" them 'liberating their *self* (and therefore his *self*) from the father's/Father's authority in order for him (along with them) to become *self actualized*, i.e., only "*of and for self*," i.e., only of and for "*the world*." By 'creating' a "healthy" environment, i.e., a "*Be positive. Not negative.*" i.e., an "*Open-ended. Non-directive*" environment (a "*positive*"/"*Open-ended*" environment is being free to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., being free to be one's *self* without being judged and/or condemned, i.e., an environment void of—hostile toward—the father's/Father's authority, i.e., toward being *told* right from wrong behavior while a "*negative*"/"*directive*" environment is the father's/Father's authority, i.e., being judged and/or condemned for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., for being one's *self*) the psychologist is able to 'liberate' his *self* from the father's/Father's authority as he 'liberates' his *client* from the same authority (which the *client*, having a *guilty conscience* for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates—the effect of being or having been under the father's/Father's authority—brings with him into the environment—the reason the *client* needs *therapy*, i.e., needs to learn how to solve life's problems without "*the problem*," i.e., the father's/Father's authority and the *guilty conscience* it engenders for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates getting in the way). The "healthy" environment (of the psychologist's 'creation,' i.e., the world of "ought") stimulates his and his *client's* response, i.e., his and his *client's* natural inclination to approach that which stimulates *dopamine emancipation*, 'justifying' his (and his *client's*) natural inclination to hate the father's/Father's authority (for getting in the way). The psychologist does not have to *tell* his client to hate the father's/Father's authority. It will naturally appear, i.e., manifest its



*self* as he 'justifies' his client's *lust* for *dopamine emancipation*. The psychologist's environment of stimulus-response (knowing right from wrong based upon *dopamine emancipation*—right being that which stimulates *dopamine emancipation*, wrong being that which inhibits or blocks, i.e., prevents it) *negates* his client's (and his) ability to *KNOW* right from wrong behavior by being *told*—which would recognize and justify the father's/Father's authority. The psychologist is simply a spoiled, *lust* seeking, *lust* 'justifying' child, hating to be *told* right from wrong behavior, i.e., hating the father's/Father's authority, i.e., hating missing out on the carnal pleasures, i.e., *lusts* of the 'moment' (*dopamine emancipation*) that the world stimulates, i.e., hating having to do the father's/Father's will.

*"And the world passeth away, and the lust thereof: but he that doeth the will of God abideth for ever."* 1 John 2:18

The gospel message is based upon the Father's authority, with the Son (and those following Him) doing the Father's will.

*"Then answered Jesus and said unto them, Verily, verily, I say unto you, The Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth the Father do: for what things soever he doeth, these also doeth the Son likewise." "I can of mine own self do nothing: as I hear, I judge: and my judgment is just; because I seek not mine own will, but the will of the Father which hath sent me." "For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say, and what I should speak. And I know that his commandment is life everlasting: whatsoever I speak therefore, even as the Father said unto me, so I speak."* John 5:19, 30; 12:47-50

*"For whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother."* Matthew 12:50

*"Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven."* Matthew 7:21

When you make your *self*, i.e., *"the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life"* the foundation from which to determine right and wrong behavior all you have is *"patricide,"* i.e., hatred toward the father's/Father's authority and *"incest,"* i.e., your *lust* for the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' (*dopamine emancipation*) that the world, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating.

Karl Marx believed the same thing: *"Once the earthly family [where the children have to humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate their self in order to do their father's will] is discovered to be the secret of the Holy family [where the Son humbled, denied, died to, controlled, disciplined, capitulated his self in order to do His Father's will], the former [the earthly father's authority] must then itself be destroyed [vernichtet, i.e.,*

*annihilated*, i.e., [negated](#) *] in theory [in the children's thoughts] and in practice [in their relationship with others and the world]."* ([Karl Marx](#), *Feuerbach Thesis #4*) The only difference between Karl Marx and Sigmund Freud is that Marx killed the father/Father (the father's/Father's authority) in society, Freud killed the father/Father (the father's/Father's authority) in the individual.

*"To enjoy the present reconciles us to the actual"* (Karl Marx, *Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right'*)

According to Karl Marx it is the child's/man's natural inclination to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating that *'reconciles'* him to *the world*. Sigmund Freud believed the same thing.

*"According to Freud, the ultimate essence of our being is erotic." "Eros is fundamentally a desire for union with objects in the world." "Eros is the foundation of morality." "Self-perfection of the human individual is fulfilled in union with the world in pleasure." "The foundation on which the man of the future will be built is already there, in the repressed unconscious [in the child's' carnal nature]; the foundation has to be recovered ['liberated' from the father's/Father's authority]. "* (Brown)

Since *"Eros,"* i.e., *lust "reconciles"* man to *"the world,"* it is therefore imperative (according to Karl Marx, Sigmund Freud, et al.) that the father's/Father's authority (that gets in the way of *lust*) be *negated*, i.e., be removed from the environment, i.e., be removed from the world. If stimulus-response is all there is, by removing the father's/Father's authority from the environment the child's response will only be according to his carnal nature (responding to the carnal world around him), 'justifying' his (and the psychologist's) *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating, 'justifying' his (and the psychologist's) hatred toward restraint, i.e., hatred toward the father's/Father's authority (that gets in the way).

*"Be ye therefore perfect, even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect."* Matthew 5:48

*"I had not known sin, but by the law [by being told]: for I had not known lust, except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet."* Romans 7:7

*"Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves servants to obey, his servants ye are to whom ye obey; whether of sin unto death, or of obedience unto righteousness?"* Romans 6:16

*"No servant can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon."*

## Luke 16:13

The "*earthly father*" (who is not perfect) and the "*Heavenly Father*" (who is perfect) are *Patriarch in paradigm*, i.e., in way of feeling, thinking, and acting toward *self*, others, the world, and authority since they both require their children to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* their *self* in order to do right and not wrong according to the father's/Father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth, holding them accountable when they disobey. The father's/Father's authority system (which is reflected in traditional education) is based upon the father/Father 1) *preaching* established commands and rules to be obeyed as given, *teaching* established facts and truth to be accepted as is, by faith, and *discussing* with his children any question(s) they might have regarding the commands, rules, facts, and truth being taught (at the father's/Father's discretion, i.e., providing he/He deems it necessary, has time, the children are able to understand, and are not questioning, challenging, defying, disregarding, attacking his/His authority), 2) *rewarding* the children who do right and obey, 3) *correcting and/or chastening* the child who does wrong and/or disobeys, in order for him to learn to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* his "*self*" in order to do right and not wrong according to established commands, rules, facts, and truth, i.e., in order to do the father's/Fathers' will, and 4) *casting out* (expelling, grounding) any child who questions, challenges, defies, disregards, attacks his authority.

*"Do not err, my beloved brethren. Every good gift and every perfect gift is from above, and cometh down from the Father of lights, with whom is no variableness, neither shadow of turning."* James 1:16, 17

*"Take heed therefore that the light which is in thee be not darkness."* Luke 11:35 13

*"The ideas of the Enlightenment taught man that he could trust his own reason as a guide to establishing valid ethical norms and that he could rely on himself, needing neither revelation nor that authority of the church in order to know good and evil."* (Stephen Eric Bronner, *Of Critical Theory and its Theorists*)

*"The Christian religion has been deeply affected by the process of Enlightenment and the conquest of the scientific spirit ["behavior science"]."* ([Theodor Adorno](#), *The Authoritarian Personality*)

*"And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works."* 2 Corinthians 11:14, 15

This system or pattern, i.e., the *Patriarchal paradigm* is also reflected in the traditional home.

*"And ye have forgotten the exhortation which speaketh unto you as unto children, My son, despise not thou the chastening of the Lord, nor faint when thou art rebuked of him: For whom the Lord loveth he chasteneth, and scourgeth every son whom he receiveth. If ye endure chastening, God dealeth with you as with sons; for what son is he whom the father chasteneth not? But if ye be without chastisement, whereof all are partakers, then are ye bastards, and not sons. Furthermore we have had fathers of our flesh which corrected us, and we gave them reverence: shall we not much rather be in subjection unto the Father of spirits, and live? For they verily for a few days chastened us after their own pleasure; but he for our profit, that we might be partakers of his holiness. Now no chastening for the present seemeth to be joyous, but grievous: nevertheless afterward it yieldeth the peaceable fruit of righteousness unto them which are exercised thereby."* [Hebrews 12:5-11](#)

While the "earthly father," i.e., dad is not perfect, he may be (or may have been) a down right tyrant (or MIA/AWL) his office of authority is perfect, having been given to him by God (the "Heavenly Father"), who is perfect, in which to do His will. All authority comes from God, therefore the "earthly father" along with his wife and children are accountable to the "Heavenly Father."

*"And call no man your father upon the earth: for one is your Father, which is in heaven."*  
Matthew 23:9

The psychologist, hating having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* his *self* in order to do right and not wrong according to the father's/Father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth, hates the father's/Father's authority—which get in the way of his carnal desires (*lusts*) of the 'moment' that the world, i.e., the current situation and/or people are stimulating. The role of psychology, i.e., the psychologist is to use *dialogue* to determine right and wrong behavior in order to *negate* the *Patriarchal paradigm* (having to obey the father/Father) in his and the client's, i.e., the child's thoughts (directly effecting their actions, i.e., response)—since in *dialogue* there is no father's/Father's authority. In *dialogue* there is only the psychologist's and the child's carnal desires, i.e., *lusts*, i.e., *self interest* of the 'moment' that the world (imagined or real) is stimulating. By *negating* the father's/Father's authority in the psychologist's and the child's thoughts (directly effecting their actions) the psychologists and the child, according to the psychiatrist (psychology) can become their *self*, i.e., "*self actualized*," *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating without having a *guilty conscience*—the cause of *neurosis*. Freud's history of the prodigal son is not of the son coming to his senses, *humbling* his *self*, returning home to submit his *self* to the father's authority (discovering that his inheritance was not his father's money but his father's love for him) but of the son, joining with his friends, i.e., in *consensus 'justifying'* their *self*, i.e., their *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, returning home, killing and devouring the father, taking all that was his to satisfy their *lusts*, doing so without having a *guilty conscience*.

*"Every one that is proud in heart is an abomination to the LORD: though hand join in hand, he shall not be unpunished." Proverbs 16:5*

*"Prevent someone who KNOWS from filling the empty space." (Wilfred Bion, *A Memoir of the Future*)*

The father's/Father's love is toward his/His children. The psychiatrist's (and the children's) love is toward the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates. The child is not in love with the toy. He is in love with the pleasure, i.e., the [dopamine](#) the toy stimulates (*emancipates*) in him, throwing the toy away once it no longer stimulates pleasure (*lust, dopamine*) in him, replacing it with a toy that does. By replacing God's Word, i.e., the Father's authority with "human nature," i.e., with psychology the wedding vow "for better or for worse" now includes "till someone better comes along." After God created Adam (unlike anything else in the creation, making him a "living soul") God gave him two commands, i.e., He *told* him what was right and what was wrong behavior, i.e., what he could do and what he was not to do (and the consequence). No animal—being subject only to stimulus-response, impulses, and urges (instinct)—can read or write a book, i.e., can be *told* or *tell* others what is right and what is wrong behavior, i.e., what to do and what not to do. Only man, created in the image of God can do that, i.e., can KNOW right from wrong behavior from being *told*. It was the master facilitator of 'change,' i.e., the first psychotherapist—by getting the woman into *dialogue*, i.e., into sharing her "feelings," i.e., her carnal desire, i.e., her *lust* regarding "the tree of the knowledge of good and evil"—who convinced (*seduced, deceived, and manipulated*, i.e., "beguiled") the woman into determining right and wrong behavior from her carnal nature, i.e., from her *lust* of the 'moment' (which was stimulated by the world) instead of from God's command, i.e. God's Word, with Adam, in disobedience to God, following after her. The moment the master facilitator of 'change,' i.e., the master psychotherapist got the woman into *dialogue* regarding right and wrong behavior, he knew he had her. Adam and the woman then became the first 'liberal's.' Instead of confessing and repenting of their sin they blamed someone else, with Adam blaming the woman (and God who created her) and the woman the master facilitator of 'change,' i.e., the master psychotherapist. After all, since it was stimulus-response "It is not my fault." "It was the 'unhealthy' environment I was raised up in (which you created)." Negating the issue of sin, i.e., the need to repent.

*"Sense experience must be the basis of all science." "Science is only genuine science when it proceeds from sense experience, in the two forms of sense perception and sensuous need, that is, only when it proceeds from Nature." (Karl Marx, MEGA I/3) Karl Marx by simply redefining "the lust of the flesh," "the lust of the eyes," and "the pride of life," i.e., "all that is of the world" as "sensuous needs," "sense perception," and "sense experience," i.e., "only" that which "proceeds from Nature," made all mankind subject to the material world, i.e., to the "behavior 'scientist.'"*

*"O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, and oppositions [Gr, antithesis] of science falsely so called: Which some professing have erred concerning the faith." 1 Timothy 6:20, 21*

The soul knows by being *told*. The flesh by *"sense experience."* By redefining the soul as cognitive, affective, and psycho-motor, i.e., *"sense experience,"* psychologists (*"behavioral 'scientists'"*) make all men, women, and children subject to stimulus-response, impulses, and urges, i.e., to that which is only of the world. You can throw "Christian" in front of the name but it is still all the same, i.e., of the world only.

*"Third-Force psychology is also epi-Marxian in these senses, i.e., including the most basic scheme as true-good social conditions [where children can be their "self," i.e., can lust after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates without fear of being judged, condemned, or cast out] are necessary for personal growth, bad social conditions [where children have to humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate their "self" in order to do the father's/Father's will] stunt human nature,... This is to say, one could reinterpret Marx into a self-actualization-fostering Third- and Fourth-Force psychology-philosophy. And my impression is anyway that this is the direction in which they are going now." "This is a realistic combination of the Marxian version & the Humanistic. (Better add to definition of "humanistic" that it also means one species, One World.)." ([Abraham Maslow](#), *The Journals of Abraham Maslow*) What does all the world have in common? "The lust of the flesh," "the lust of the eyes," and "the pride of life," i.e., only that which is "of the world."*

*"Self-actualizing people have to a large extent transcended the values of their culture. They are not so much merely Americans as they are world citizens, members of the human species first and foremost." (Abraham Maslow, *The Farther Reaches of Human Nature*)*

*"If the guilt accumulated in the civilized domination of man by man [reflected in the father's/Father's authority over his children] can ever be redeemed by freedom [the children 'redeemed, i.e. 'liberated' from the father's/Father's authority, 'reconciled' back to the world], then the 'original sin' [the "children" determining what is right and what is wrong according to their own carnal nature, i.e., the carnal desires (lusts) of the 'moment' that are being stimulated by the world] must be committed again: We must again eat from the tree of knowledge in order to fall back into the state of innocence." (Marcuse)*

*"The entry into Freud cannot avoid being a plunge into a strange world and a strange language—a world of sick men, ....It is a shattering experience for anyone seriously committed to the Western traditions of morality and rationality to take a steadfast, unflinching look at what Freud has to say. To experience Freud is to partake a second time of the forbidden fruit; and this book cannot without sinning communicate that experience to the reader." "Our real choice is between holy and unholy madness: open your eyes and look around you—madness is in the saddle anyhow." "It is possible to be*

*mad and to be unblest, but it is not possible to get the blessing without the madness; it is not possible to get the illuminations without the derangement," "I wagered my intellectual life on the idea of finding in Freud what was missing in Marx." (Norman O. Brown, regarding his book *Life Against Death: The Psychoanalytical Meaning of History*)*

The 'driving' force behind psychology (and Marxism) is the psychologist's and the child's natural inclination to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating, hating the father/Father and his authority for getting in the way. The 'purpose' of psychology is therefore to 'liberate' the psychologist's and the child's thoughts and therefore their actions from the father's/Father's authority, i.e., from having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* their *self* in order to do right and not wrong according to the father's/Father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth so the psychologist and the child can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., can *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating without having a *guilty conscience* (which the father's/Father's authority engenders), with each other's approval, i.e., *affirmation*, i.e., 'justification.' Psychology *negates* the [guilty conscience](#) (for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' which the world stimulates) which is engendered from the father's/Father's authority, replacing it with the "*super-ego*," which is engendered from the psychologist's and the child's carnal desires, i.e., the psychologist's and the child's "[feelings](#)" (*lusts*) of the 'moment' which are being stimulated by the world. *Discussion* is grounded in the father's/Father's authority, i.e., in doing right and not wrong according to the father's/Father's established commands, rules, facts, and truth, with the father/Father having the final say, i.e., "*Because I said so*"/"*It is written.*" *Dialogue* on the other hand is grounded in the psychologist's and the child's carnal nature, i.e., their *lust* for pleasure and their hatred toward restraint (toward the restrainer). This is why psychologists use *dialogue* (the language of "*feelings*," i.e., of *lust* and hate) instead of *discussion* (obeying commands and rules as given, accepting facts and truth as is, by faith) making their and the child's carnal nature, i.e., their and the child's "*feelings*" (*lust*) the bases of communication, 'liberating' themselves and the children from the father's/Father's authority.

*"Blessed is the man that trusteth in the LORD, and whose hope the LORD is." Jeremiah 17:5, 7*

*"By mercy and truth iniquity is purged: and by the fear of the LORD men depart from evil." Proverbs 16:6*

*"Trust in the Lord with all thine heart, and lean not unto thine own understanding. In all thy ways acknowledge him, and he shall direct thy paths." Proverb. 3: 5-6*

When the father/Father chastens his children, i.e., holds them accountable for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates—done in order (as in old world order) for his/His children to learn to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate* their *self* and do right and not wrong according to established commands, rules, facts and truth, engendering a *guilty conscience* in the children when they do wrong, disobey, sin—they are learning a political system (doing right and not wrong from being *told*). The same is true when they, *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates do what they want, yet, *knowing* in themselves (after being *told*) that what they are doing is wrong, have a *guilty conscience*, inhibiting or blocking, i.e., preventing 'change.' 'Change,' adaptability to the environment one find's one's *self* in is the name of the game—stimulus-response.

*"Individuals move not from a fixity through change to a new fixity, though such a process is indeed possible [where the child accepts and obeys established commands, rules, facts, and truth, with doing right and not wrong according to established standards controlling his thoughts and actions, where the child tries to persuade others, through discussion to accept his, i.e., his parent's/God's standards as right, i.e., as true]. But [through a] continuum from fixity to changingness, from rigid structure to flow, from stasis to process [from doing right and not wrong according to established commands, rules, facts, and truth, i.e., discussion to dialogue, i.e., i.e., to "feelings," i.e., to doing what "seems" 'right,' i.e., satisfies his carnal desires (lusts) of the 'moment' that the world is stimulating]." "At one end of the continuum the individual avoids close relationships, which are perceived as being dangerous [doing or being right and not wrong according to established commands, rules, facts, and truth, doing his father's/Father's will being his concern]. At the other end he lives openly and freely in relation to the therapist and to others [to "the group"], guiding his behavior on the basis of his immediate experiencing [being able to do what he wants, when he wants, in the "light" of the current situation, i.e., what he can get out of it for his "self," with group approval (affirmation), what "the group" thinks (not what the father/Father thinks) from then on controls his thoughts and actions] – he has become an integrated process of changingness." (Rogers)*

*"The philosophers have only interpreted the world in different ways [insisting that everyone do it their way, thus retaining the father's/Father's authority], the objective however, is change [continuous 'change,' i.e., being stimulated by and responding to only that which is "of the world"]." (Karl Marx, Feuerbach Thesis #11) Inscribed on Karl Marx's tomb.*

*"It is not individualism [doing the father's/Father's will] that fulfills the individual [that satisfies his lusts], on the contrary it destroys him [it prevents him from becoming his self, i.e., from satisfying his lusts, i.e., from fulfilling his self interests]. Society [[compromise in order to "get things done"](#)] is the necessary framework through which freedom [freedom from the father's/Father's authority and the *guilty conscience* it engenders] and individuality [freedom to lust after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world*



stimulates without having a *guilty conscience*, with *affirmation*] *are made realities.*" (Karl Marx, in John Lewis, *The Life and Teachings of Karl Marx*)

*"There is no more important issue than the interrelationship of the group members." "To question the value or activities of the group, would be to thrust himself into a state of dissonance [caught between doing the father's/Father's will, which inhibits or blocks, i.e., condemns his *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates and going with "the group," which 'justifies' his *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates]. "Few individuals, as Asch has shown, can maintain their objectivity ['loyalty' to their parent's, i.e., the father's/Father's authority] in the face of apparent group unanimity [their *lust* for "the group's" approval, 'justifying' their *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates and their fear of "the group's" rejection of them, i.e., fear of missing out on their *lusting* ... since *lust*, i.e., *self interest* is now tied to (dependent) upon "the group" and the facilitator of 'change' (who they follow)]."* (Yalom)

Psychology is likewise a political system with children, following after the facilitator of 'change,' i.e., the psychologist who, 'justifying' their (and therefore his) natural inclination to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, 'justifies' their (and therefore his) hatred toward (and therefore rejection of) the father's/Father's authority so they (the psychologist and the child) can become their "*self*," i.e., "*self actualized*," doing wrong, disobeying, sinning, i.e., *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulate, getting rid of anyone in their way without having a *guilt conscience*. The road to Utopia is paved with the bodies of those who got in the way, i.e., the unborn, elderly, innocent, righteous.

*"Not feeling at home in the sinful world. Critical Criticism must set up a sinful world in its own home." "Critical Criticism is a spiritualistic lord, pure spontaneity, actus purus, intolerant of any influence from without."* (Karl Marx, *The Holy Family*) "*Critical Criticism*" (Critical Theory, Critical Thinking regarding behavior, "Critical Race Theory" all being the same, i.e., *Critical Criticism*, i.e., Marxism) is *dialogue*, i.e., is "*a spiritualistic lord, pure spontaneity, actus purus, intolerant of any influence from without*" setting "*up a sinful world in its own home*," since it was not "*at home in a sinful world*" ("*not feeling*" "good," i.e., feeling evil, wicked, etc., instead, being called a sinner) being put into practice (*praxis*), i.e., social action. As Karl Marx cried out: "*I am nothing and I should be everything*," i.e., "*I am treated like a sinner when I should be recognized and treated as God.*" (Karl Marx, *Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right'*)

*"The philosophy of praxis is the absolute secularization of thought, an absolute humanism of history."* (Antonio Gramsci, *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*) When you remove God (the Father's) voice from your conversation with your *self*, you become God, establishing right and wrong upon your carnal nature, with *lust* (your carnal desires of the 'moment') being right and the father's/Father's authority being wrong.

*"Yet, who is God's God [who is the psychiatrist's/your God since, in *dialogue*, when it comes to right and wrong behavior he is/you are God]?" "Psychotherapists who are deeply depressed and who know that they must be their own superbeing, their own intercessor, are more apt to plunge into final despair." "I have often thought that the inordinately high suicide rate among psychiatrists was one tragic commentary on this dilemma." (Yalom)*

Despite what "Christian" psychologists might say, i.e., might want you to believe (and might want to believe themselves) psychology, i.e., [psychotherapy](#) (with its use of [dialogue](#)—there is no father's/Father's authority or *guilty conscience* for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning in *dialogue*) is all about *negating* [the father's/Father's authority](#), (thereby *negating* the [guilty conscience](#) for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning in the process) in the psychologist's and the children's feelings, thoughts, and actions, as well as in their relationship with each other, others, and the world, resulting in their questioning, challenging, disregarding, defying, attacking the father's/Father's authority whenever it gets in their way (restraining their carnal nature, inhibiting or blocking them from "enjoying," i.e., *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates), creating a "new" world order of unrighteousness and abomination, i.e., a world where all can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., can *lust* with impunity (with no sense of *guilt* or accountability—other than to themselves and the world that stimulates pleasure, i.e., *lust* in them and those around them). Psychology has no other 'drive' or 'purpose,' i.e., agenda than that of 'liberating' their, i.e., [the child's carnal nature](#) ("*human nature*") from the father's/Father's authority.

*"Prior to therapy the person is prone to ask himself, 'What would my parents want me to do?' During the process of therapy the individual come to ask himself, 'What does it mean to me?'" (Rogers)*

By focusing upon and *affirming* the child's "*feeling*" of the 'moment,' i.e., the child's carnal nature, i.e., the child's desire for the carnal pleasures, i.e., *lusts* of the 'moment' which the world stimulates, instead of emphasizing and enforcing "*doing right and not wrong according to established commands, rules, facts, and truth*," i.e., doing the father's/Father's will, i.e., supporting the father's/Father's authority (which initiates and sustains the *guilty conscience* for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning) the child is '[justified](#)' (by the psychologist) to become his "[self](#)," thinking and acting according to his carnal nature and the world that stimulates it, 'liberating' (*actualizing*) his "*self*" (with the help, i.e., *affirmation* of others) over and therefore against the father's authority, making him subject to the psychologist, i.e., the facilitator of 'change,' *negating* the father's/Father's authority and the *guilty conscience* it engenders for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning in the process—so the psychiatrist (and all the world) can do wrong, disobey, sin with impunity. The moment you ask someone how they "*feel*" or what they are "*thinking*," i.e., for their *opinion* (when it comes to right and wrong behavior) you are 'liberating' your *self* and them, i.e., the world from "*It is written*," i.e., from the language of the father/Father,

*negating* the father's/Father's authority in your and their thoughts and actions. Psychology, in harmony with Marxism, is a political system, where through "*group psychotherapy*" not only "*the group*" (society) is 'liberated' from the father's/Father's authority, the individual is 'liberated' as well (finding his identity in "*the group*," i.e., in society, i.e., in the *lusts* he and "the group" and the facilitator of 'change,' i.e., the group psychotherapist have in common, instead of in the father/Father and his/His authority which restrains him, i.e., which gets in the way of his *lusts* and the world that stimulates them)—*negating* "*private convictions*," private property, and private business, unalienable rights, sovereignty, posterity, inheritance, etc., in the process—with the psychiatrist/Marxist, i.e., facilitator of 'change' now in control.

*"The power-relationship between the parents, the domination of the subject's family by the father or by the mother, and their relative dominance in specific areas of life also seemed of importance for our problem."* (Adorno)

When you focus on the family, i.e., relationships within the family, making human relationship, i.e., the child's carnal nature (*lust*) the focus, the father's/Father's authority is *negated*—having been replaced with the authority, i.e., the *seduction, deception, and manipulation* of the facilitator of 'change,' i.e., the psychologist. By 'shifting' communication away from *discussion*, which retains the father's/Father's authority toward *dialogue*, which has no father's/Father's authority, the father's/Father's authority is *negated*.

*"And I will give children to be their princes, and babes shall rule over them. And the people shall be oppressed, every one by another, and every one by his neighbour: the child shall behave himself proudly against the ancient, and the base against the honourable." "As for my people, children are their oppressors, and women rule over them. O my people, they which lead thee cause thee to err, and destroy the way of thy paths."* Isaiah 3:4-5, 12 *"Thus saith the LORD, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls. But they said, We will not walk therein."* Jeremiah 6:16 *"... and children shall rise up against their parents, and shall cause them to be put to death."* Mark 13:12

*"Wherein in time past ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience: Among whom also we all had our conversation in times past in the lusts of our flesh, fulfilling the desires of the flesh and of the mind; and were by nature the children of wrath, even as others."* Ephesians 2:2, 3

Sigmund Freud built his foundation (for psychology) upon the rebellious sons, i.e., "the children of disobedience" who the father cast out of the family because of their acts (*praxis*) of abomination, i.e. for their incestuous practices, who returned and killed (and ate, i.e. *annihilated*) the father, 'liberating' the *praxis* of incest within the family

(justifying' abomination within the nation), i.e. establishing the child's carnal nature, i.e. man's sinful nature, i.e. "human nature" over and therefore against doing the father's will, no longer accepting doing right and not wrong as a way of thinking and acting. The scriptures are clear regarding the nature of man ("human nature") and those who *lust* after and worship it.

*"For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;..." Romans 3:23 "But we are all as an unclean thing, and all our righteousnesses are as filthy rags;...." Isaiah 64:6 "There is none righteous, no, not one:..." "... There is no fear of God before their eyes." Romans 3:10, 18 "If any man love the world, the love of the Father is not in him. For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world. And the world passeth away, and the lust thereof: but he that doeth the will of God abideth for ever." 1 John 2:16-18 "Ye adulterers and adulteresses, know ye not that the friendship of the world is enmity with God? whosoever therefore will be a friend of the world is the enemy of God." James 4:4 "For men shall be lovers of their own selves, covetous, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, Without natural affection, trucebreakers, false accusers, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good, Traitors, heady, highminded, lovers of pleasures more than lovers of God; Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from such turn away." 1 Timothy 3:2-5*

Psychology is based upon Freud's history of mankind, as children of "human nature," as "children of disobedience," as children of abomination, 'liberating' themselves from the Father's authority, *negating* the Father's authority in their thoughts and their actions. Freud's dialectic 'reasoning' is: If "human nature," i.e. man's sinful nature (man's desire to satisfy his 'libido,' i.e. his natural inclination to "lust" after the gratifying things of the world) is common to all men, then being sinful, i.e. "human nature" (man's "lust" for pleasure, i.e. for dopamine 'emancipation') must become the "norm," i.e. must become the starting point for determining right from wrong behavior. If the child's (man's and society's) "guilty conscience" for sinning, i.e. for being "normal" is the barrier to the child becoming "himself" (knowing himself as he is, i.e. carnal, i.e. of the world only, thinking and acting according to pleasure, "enjoyment," happiness, "lust," in the 'moment'), for the child (and therefore man and society) to become "normal (physically, mentally, and socially 'healthy'), the "guilty conscience" (the Father's authority) must be *negated*. Again: if the Father's authority engenders the "guilty conscience" (for disobedience against the Father, i.e. for sinning) then the Father's authority must itself be *negated*, making the child (and therefore society) "normal" again, as he was before the Father's first command and threat of judgment for disobedience, i.e. for sinning (which according to dialectic 'reasoning' no longer exists, since sinning is no longer found in the child's "human nature," i.e. in his love for himself and the world and therefore in his disobedience of the Father's authority, i.e. sinning is instead, the child and therefore man and society submitting *to* the Father's authority, i.e. sin is the act of burdening the carnal child and the

carnal man with a "guilty conscience" for being "normal," i.e. for disobeying the Father, i.e. for disobeying God).

*"Sin is the estrangement of man from man." "Concern for man [concern for pleasing the child, pleasing that which is below, i.e. satisfying the child's carnal nature, which is common to all men] replaces concern about pleasing God [concern for pleasing the Father, pleasing that which is above, i.e. submitting to the Father's authority which divides the child from his own nature and therefore divides the child from other children, i.e. therein dividing man from man]." (Leonard Wheat, Paul Tillich's Dialectical Humanism)* The very act of faith is an act of "prejudice," segregating the child from his nature and the nature of the world. "Prejudice" is engendered in the child's obedience to his Father's will, where the Father's will rules over and against his will to become at-one-with himself, others, and the world.

Faith is not grounded upon the mother's "feelings" for the child (and therefore the child's "feelings" for himself and the world) but upon the Father's authority, i.e. in the child's obedience to the Father's commands (the child's will subject to the Father's will—"Thy will be done" Matthew 6:10; 26:42 "Then said I, Lo, I come (in the volume of the book it is written of me,) to do thy will, O God." Hebrews 10:7) It is impossible to please God without faith. While God (the Father) gives all men (all children) a "measure of faith" (Roman's 12:3), when the Lord returns he will not find faith in men ("will there be faith?"). The absence of faith in the Father and respect for His authority is the witness of dialectic 'reasoning,' i.e. of the "feelings" and "thoughts" of the child (void of parental restraint), i.e. of the effect of psychology upon the thoughts and actions of men. "But without faith it is impossible to please him: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him." Hebrews 11:6 "So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God." Romans 10:17 "For after that in the wisdom of God the world by wisdom (by dialectic 'reasoning,' i.e. inductive 'reasoning' basing 'truth,' i.e. reality upon the child's "feelings," i.e. his "sensuous needs" and "sense perception") knew not God, it pleased God by the foolishness of preaching (by man's acceptance of God's "It is written ...," i.e. beginning with the child's acceptance of the Father's "Because I said so") to save them that believe." 1 Corinthians 1:21 "Above all, taking the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked." Ephesians 6:16 "Nevertheless when the Son of man cometh, shall he find faith on the earth?" Luke 18:8 With the *praxis* of psychology, the answer is no.

If you start with the nature of the child, i.e. with "human nature" as the premise for 'reality,' then pleasure (the natural inclination of the child to approach pleasure and avoid pain, i.e., to *lust* after pleasure, i.e., to *lust* after *dopamine emancipation* and to hate restraint, i.e., to hate the father's/Father's authority) becomes the 'drive' of life and the augmentation of pleasure (*lust*) and hate (*negation* of the father's/Father's authority) the 'purpose' of life, *negating* right-wrong thinking and acting, according to the Father's authority in the process. Therefore it is in the child's (and therefore man's) natural

inclination to approach pleasure that Freud 'justifies' the *praxis* of psychology. Psychology begins with the premise that *"infants know no guide except the pleasure-principle."* *"Freud saw that in the id there is no negation [no parental authority, i.e. no Godly restraint, i.e. no "Thou shalt not"], only affirmation and eternity [only the pleasures (lusts) of the 'moment']."* *"Infants have a richer sexual life than adults [who are "burdened" with the rules of right and wrong behavior regarding pleasure]. Infantile sexuality is the pursuit of pleasure obtained through the activity of any and all organs of the human body. While adult sexuality serves the socially useful purpose of breeding children, it is for the individual in some sense an end in itself as a source of pleasure – according to Freud, the highest pleasure. Children have not acquired that sense of shame which, according to the Biblical story, expelled mankind from Paradise, and which, presumably, would be discarded if Paradise were regained [if pleasure instead of right-wrong (according to established commands, rules, facts, and truth) became the 'purpose' of life then man could know "Paradise" in this life, in the here-and-now, with no "shame," i.e. with no guilty conscience]."* (Brown)

Norman O. Brown (a fellow "comrade" of the Marxist Marcuse), explaining Freud's *"Higher Order Thinking Skills"* (basing man's, i.e. the child's 'drive' and 'purpose' of life upon "human nature," i.e. his natural impulses and urges to become at-one-with the world in pleasure, in the 'moment'), wrote: *"Adult sexuality, restricted by rules, to maintain family and society [to maintain the "top-down" system or patriarchal paradigm of the "old" world order], . . . leads to neurosis."* *"The repression of normal adult sexuality is required only by cultures which are based on patriarchal domination ["Lower Order Thinking"]."* *"Our repressed desires are the desires we had unrepressed, in childhood; and they are sexual desires."* *"Parental discipline, religious denunciation of bodily pleasure [abstinence (self restraint, self-discipline, the humbling and denying of self)], . . . have all left man overly docile [un/anti-revolutionary], but secretly in his unconscious [in his urges and impulses to become at-one-with the world in pleasure, in the 'moment,' manifested in his imagination] unconvinced, and therefore neurotic [where the child's mind is caught between that which is of nature, i.e. of his own "feelings," i.e. of the flesh and the world and that which "represses" (blocks) nature, i.e. that which is of the supernatural, i.e. the Father's authority, where the child's actions, made subject to the Father's authority, are in conflict with his thoughts, which are influenced by his "feelings" for (and therefore influenced by) the things of the world]."* *"If society imposes repression [by supporting the traditional family structure, i.e. honoring the Father's position of authority in the home], and repression causes the universal neurosis of man [man obeying the Father's "top-down" authority instead of following after his own natural inclinations, i.e. thinking, feeling, and acting according to "human nature"], . . . there is an intrinsic connection between social organization [the traditional family structure which separates the child from his own carnal nature and the carnal nature of society, i.e. the "communist community," i.e. where 'common-unity' is based upon 'common-ism'] and neurosis."* *"The bondage of all cultures to their cultural heritage [where children have to obey their*

parent's commands and rules, accepting their facts and truth "as given" (regarding right and wrong behavior), which "represses" their natural inclination, i.e. "putting the lid on" *Pandora's box*, i.e. on their impulses and urges to become at-one-with the world in pleasure, in the 'moment' ] *is a neurotic construction.*" "By the standards of normal adult sexuality [by the standards of the traditional husband-wife relationship, with the husband "ruling" over his home well, and the desires of the wife being to her husband, and the children obeying their parent's, in the Lord, to "maintain family and society"] children are polymorphously perverse ['incestuous']." "Normal adult sexuality [the traditional husband-wife relationship], judged by the standard of infantile sexuality [the child's natural inclination to become at-one-with nature, in pleasure, in the 'moment'], is an unnatural restriction [a supernatural restriction] of the erotic potentialities of the human body." "Freud takes with absolute seriousness the proposition of Jesus: 'Except ye become as little children [think, feel, and act according to your carnal nature, unrestrained by the Father's authority], ye can in no wise enter the kingdom of heaven [become at one with your own flesh and the world, i.e. experience "worldly peace and socialist harmony"].'" "Psychoanalysis declares the fundamental bisexual character of human nature [whatever the source of pleasure is, as long as it does not "harm" yourself or others, it does not matter];" " According to Freud, the ultimate essence of our being is erotic, and demands activity according to the pleasure-principle ["lust," "enjoyment"]." "The foundation on which the man of the future will be built is already there, in the repressed unconscious [in the child's (in our) carnal nature]; the foundation has to be recovered [the child in us all, i.e. the 'libido,' i.e. our "lust" for pleasure, i.e. our sexual drive has to be 'liberated' from the Father's authority]. " (Brown)

If you start with the Father's authority, i.e. (1. to give, i.e. *preach* and *teach* commands, rules, facts, and truth to be obeyed without questioning them and or challenging his authority (2. blessing those who obey, (3. chastening those who disobey, and (4. casting out those who disrespect, question, and/or challenge His authority, making the Father's authority the *thesis*, then the child's carnal nature ("human nature") remains the *antithesis*—the world remains as it is, i.e. 'unchanging.' But if you start with the child, i.e. make "human nature," the child's carnal nature, the *thesis*, giving the child 'liberty' to *dialogue* his *opinion*, i.e. how he "feels" and what he "thinks" in the 'moment,' in the current 'situation,' then the Father's authority, i.e. the barrier to 'change,' becomes the *antithesis*, i.e. the object to *negate*—making it possible to unite (*synthesize*) all the children as "one," uniting all of mankind upon their carnal sinful nature ("human nature"). The objective of psychology therefore becomes the *praxis* of *negating* the Father's authority over the children, i.e. 'justifying' the child's (man's) carnal, sinful, unrighteous nature—the very act of *dialoguing opinions* to a *consensus* itself (to a "feeling" of "oneness" between one's feelings, thoughts, and actions and between self and others) accomplishes the deed (the *praxis*) even if the original intent was to bolsters the Father's authority.

*"Educational procedures are intended to develop the more desirable rather than the more customary types of behavior." (Benjamin Bloom, *Taxonomy of Educational Objective, Book 1: Cognitive Domain*)*

*"There are many stories of the conflict and tension that these new practices are producing between parents and children." (David Krathwohl, Benjamin S. Bloom, *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives Book 2: Affective Domain*)*

Contemporary education is based upon psychology, i.e., the 'justification' of *lust*, establishing the child's carnal nature over and therefore against the father's/Father's authority. All "educators" are certified and schools accredited based upon their use of "[Blooms' Taxonomies](#)" in the classroom.

*"Blooms' Taxonomies" are "a psychological classification system" used "to develop attitudes and values ... which are not shaped by the parents." "Ordering" "different kinds of affective behavior," i.e., "the range of emotion(s)" "organized into value systems and philosophies of life." "It was the view of the group that educational objectives stated in the behavior form have their counterparts in the behavior of individuals, observable and describable therefore classifiable [true science is "observable and repeatable," i.e., objective, i.e., constant not "observable and describable," i.e., subject to an opinion, i.e., subject to 'change']." "Only those educational programs which can be specified in terms of intended student behaviors can be classified." "What we are classifying is the intended behavior of students—the ways in which individuals are to act, think, or feel as the result of participating in some unit of instruction." "... ordering and relating the different kinds of affective behavior." "... we need to provide the range of emotion from neutrality through mild to strong emotion, probably of a positive, but possibly also of a negative, kind." "... organized into value systems and philosophies of life ..." "...many of these changes are produced by association with peers who have less authoritarian points of view, as well as through the impact of a great many courses of study in which the authoritarian pattern is in some ways brought into question while more rational and nonauthoritarian behaviors are emphasized." "The student must feel free to say he disliked \_\_\_\_\_ and not have to worry about being punished for his reaction." (Book 1: Cognitive Domain and Book 2: Affective Domain)*

*"To create effectively a new set of attitudes and values, the individual must undergo great reorganization of his personal beliefs and attitudes and he must be involved in an environment which in many ways is separated from the previous environment in which he was developed.... many of these changes are produced by association with peers who have less authoritarian points of view, as well as through the impact of a great many courses of study in which the authoritarian pattern is in some ways brought into question while more rational and nonauthoritarian behaviors are emphasized." "The effectiveness of this new set of environmental conditions is probably related to the extent to which the students are 'isolated' from the home during this period of time." "... objectives can best be attained*



*where the individual is separated from earlier environmental conditions and when he is in association with a group of peers who are changing in much the same direction and who thus tend to reinforce each other." (Book 2: Affective Domain)*

*"In fact, a large part of what we call 'good teaching' is the teacher's ability to attain affective objectives ['liberating' the students from their parent's authority] through challenging the student's fixed beliefs [questioning their parent's standards of right and wrong behavior] and getting them to discuss issues [dialoguing their "feelings" regarding authority]." "The affective domain is, in retrospect, a virtual 'Pandora's Box' [a box (jar) full of evils, which once opened can not be closed]." "It is in this 'box' that the most influential controls are to be found." (Book 2: Affective Domain) You persuade with facts and truth. You manipulate with feelings.*

Freud built the *praxis* of psychology upon his two mythological Greek heroes, *Orpheus*, a homosexual who made love to young boys, i.e. who freely expressed his nature within nature, and *Narcissus*, who fell in love with his nature being reflected back to him from nature, i.e. who discovered his nature within nature itself only. *"Narcissus and Dionysus are closely assimilated in the Orphic mythology.... identity of the one and the many... reunification ... of God and world, man and nature..." "Orpheus rejects the normal Eros ... the repressive order of procreative sexuality [to preserve family and society, i.e. the "old world order"]... for a fuller Eros ... the negation of all order [where the child's incestuous nature is 'liberated' from the Father's authority, i.e. the "new world order" where non-repressive Eros ("human nature"), i.e., lust prevails]." ibid.*

Mike Connor commenting on Brown and his work on Freud and Marx, at Browns funeral stated: *"But Brown believed that the payoff was worth the price of sin—namely, that alienation would be overcome, and the return of the repressed completed, rendering problems of sin permanently moot. Life Against Death established Brown, along with his colleague and friend Herbert Marcuse, and later Charles Reich, as an intellectual leader of the New Left .... a Marxist mode of Freudian analysis. Brown's push to resurrect the human body with all its erotic urges freely expressed, resonated with the members of the Human Potential Movement and the undergrads they were influencing in the 60's."* (March 23-30, 2005 issue of Metro Santa Cruz) As was put into *praxis* by the citizens of Sodom, i.e. abomination and the threat to harm any who got in their way of realizing it (*"now will we deal worse with thee, than with them."* Genesis 19:9), we find ourselves facing the same attitude from an ever escalating culture of abomination (initiated and sustained by the *praxis* of psychology).

George Hegel saw 'reality' (the true nature of society) as residing within the child's nature ("human nature"), not in the Father's authority (in *righteousness*, i.e. in doing the Father's will). For 'reality' to become 'reality,' the Father's authority over his family, property, and business (and therefore society) had to be *negated*., this could only be done by making the child's nature, i.e. "human nature" the standard from which society is built. Sounding

more like Karl Marx than Karl Marx himself (who was not yet born) Hegel could then write: *"On account of the absolute and natural oneness of the husband, the wife, and the child, ... the surplus is not the property of one of them ... all contracts regarding property or service and the like fall away ... the surplus, labour, and property are absolutely common to all, inherently and explicitly."* (George Hegel, *System of Ethical Life*)

By the 50's men who had merged Marx and Freud (sociology with psychology), who came to the States from Germany in the 30's, had succeeded in infiltrating education, the media, and government with psychology, hiding Marxism within it (both being the same in outcome). *"As the Frankfurt School wrestled with how to 'reinvigorate Marx', they 'found the missing link in Freud'"* (Jay, Martin, *The Dialectical Imagination: The History of the Frankfurt School and the Institute of Social Research 1923-1950*)

You have Karl Marx within you. Have you ever seen a two year old's face (and fist) when his parent took something away from him that he wanted, that he "lusted" after. If he were strong enough and had a gun he would use it on them. That look, that raised fist, that kick against the parent is [the Karl Marx within your child](#) (within you) wanting to *annihilate* the Father's authority (hating the Father's authority) because it prevents him (you) from having his (your) carnal way.

*"Freud [and], Hegel, like Marx, [are] compelled to postulate external domination and its assertion by force in order to explain repression.... Therefore the question confronting mankind is the abolition of repression – in traditional Christian language, the resurrection of the body [the 'liberation' of the child from parental authority, the 'liberation' of man's carnal nature from Godly restraint—what I call Satan's "Genesis project" ([Genesis 3:1-6](#))]. The resurrection of the body is a social project." "In the words of Thoreau: 'We need pray for no higher heaven than the pure senses can furnish, a purely sensuous life. Our present senses are but rudiments of what they are destined to become.'" "Freud speaks of religion [loving the Father over and against the world]] as a 'substitute-gratification'– the Freudian analogue to the Marxian formula, 'opiate of the people [loving the King over and against "the people"]." "We must return to Freud and say that incest guilt [the Father's authority engendering a "guilty conscience" in the child while he is doing (or thinking about doing) that which comes naturally, i.e. becoming at-one-with the world in pleasure, i.e. following after his carnal nature, i.e. satisfying his urges and impulses of the 'moment, i.e. "lusting" after the things of the world] created the familial organization." "What we call 'conscience' perpetuates inside of us our bondage to past objects [parental authority] now part of ourselves : the super-ego 'unites in itself the influences [the child's own "feelings"] of the present and of the past.'" "The guilty conscience is formed in childhood by the incorporation of the parents and the wish to be father of oneself."—Freud considered children as being sexually active, just not able to procreate] created the familial organization." "Eros is the foundation of morality." "The basic structure of Freud's thought is committed to dialectics [the child's mind and behavior 'liberated' from parental authority, i.e. man's mind and behavior 'liberated' from*

Godly authority, i.e. being purely of and for himself, i.e. of "human nature" only, individually and socially]." *"His [Freud's] finest insights are incurably 'dialectical.'"* (Brown)

*"Psychoanalysis must treat religion [man having faith in God as a child has faith in his father, obeying His commands and accepting his chastening when he disobeys] as a neurosis."* (Brown)

*"God is conceived more directly after a parental image and thus as a source of support and as a guiding and sometimes punishing authority." "Authoritarian submission was conceived of as a very general attitude that would be evoked in relation to a variety of authority figures—parents, older people, leaders, supernatural power, and so forth."* (Adorno)

*"I have found whenever I ran across authoritarian students that the best thing for me to do was to break their backs immediately." "The correct thing to do with authoritarians is to take them realistically for the bastards they are and then behave toward them as if they were bastards."* (Abraham Maslow, *Maslow on Management*)

The moment a psychiatrist walks into the room, Satan walks into the garden in Eden, 'liberating' the children from the Father's authority, making the environment a "better" place for the children to live in—an environment in harmony with their carnal nature. The psychoanalyst (the therapist) through his use of language, i.e. his use of *dialoguing opinions* (using the language of feelings and sight, i.e. determining right from wrong according to the child's "*sensuous needs*" and "*sense perception*" i.e. according to his "feelings" and "thoughts," i.e. according to his flesh, finding harmony/unity with the world regarding the current situation, i.e. in the 'moment,' which is temporary) instead of *preaching* and *teaching* facts and truth to be accepted "as is" (using the language of faith, i.e. knowing right from wrong according to the words of the Father, which are eternal), he 'liberates' the children from the Father's authority. There is no Father's authority (*preaching* and *teaching* of commands, rules, facts, and truth, i.e. "*It is written ...*," i.e. "*My father says ...*" [Matthew 4:1-11](#)) in the child's *opinion*, i.e. in how he "feels" or what he "thinks" in the 'moment' (in your *opinion*, i.e. in how you "feel" or what you "think" in the 'moment'). Just know this: your *opinion* will not count on the day of judgment. Through the *dialoguing* of *opinions* to a *consensus* (to a "feeling" of "oneness," i.e. creating a "new" world order of "socialist harmony and worldly peace"), the Father's authority is *annihilated* (*negated*) in the child's personal thoughts and in his social actions, 'liberating' the other children (and the psychologist) of the Father's authority, i.e. 'liberating' the world of Godly restraint. As Satan did in the garden in Eden, so the social-psychologist does today. All psychologists who are concerned about the child's "social life" are socio-psychologists i.e. Transformational Marxists, i.e., facilitators of 'change,' i.e. of their father the Devil, 'liberating' the children from the Father's authority, i.e. 'redeeming' them from "*repression*," "*alienation*," and "*neurosis*," 'reconciling' them back

to the world, as they were before the Father's first command and threat of chastening (judgment) for disobedience came into their lives, 'justifying' the *praxis* of abomination (incest). All psychoanalysts must yell (and encourage others to yell) "Crucify Him," i.e. crucify the one who obeys his Father in all things commanded, doing his Father's will, even to the death. *"We choose Caesar and the way of the world (the approval of men) instead."*

*"We must develop persons who see non-influenceability of private convictions in joint deliberations as a vice rather than a virtue."* (Kenneth Benne, *Human Relations in Curriculum Change*)

When you hear of someone (or you yourself are) being labeled as being *"psychological"* (as anti-socialist or a-socialist, as "intolerant of abomination," as "unadaptable to 'change,'" i.e. as a person of unwavering faith, i.e. as one who is *"non-influenceable of private convictions"*), as "was done" in the "old soviet union" (and is still being done today), you now know what is going on, i.e. who is speaking to you (and about you), i.e. psychoanalysts, i.e. facilitators of 'change,' i.e., sons of the Devil (even if they are speaking from behind the podium in the "church," calling themselves *"ministers"*), those who, loving the praises of men, i.e. loving the approval of the children for their "experiencing" of worship, hate the Father of Christ. Without the *"non-influenceability of private convictions"* you have no private, as in private property, business, or right to rule over your own home, or unalienable rights, as in right of life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness, under God.

*"He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son."* 1 John 3:22

Psychology (the 'liberation' of the child's carnal nature from the Father's authority, i.e. 'liberating' "human nature" from Godly restraint) has become so entrenched in the American way of thinking today that to question it will bring your "rationality" into question and put your career at risk, i.e. *"Who can trust the man who puts his trust in Lord God and the Lord Jesus Christ instead of trusting in his own understanding and the understanding of his fellow man?"* Who can trust the man who believes that we are to: *"Trust in the Lord with all thine heart, and lean not unto thine own understanding. In all thy ways acknowledge him, and he shall direct thy paths."* Proverb. 3: 5-6 when all that we hear about is a world of pleasure, of "enjoyment," of "lusts" as the way of life. All that psychoanalysis have to say to you and others, if you expose them for that they are doing, is *"Oh. We are not doing any of that,"* and most people will believe them, letting them have their way on them and their children, questioning your sanity along the way.

*"He that committeth sin [disobeys the Father] is of the devil; for the devil sinneth [disobeys the Father—not that the devil was a ever son but only an angel created to Worship and Serve the creator of all things good, turning to his own talents instead, worshiping them and the creation in defiance to God's will, intent in making the world*

subject to his will instead] *from the beginning. For this purpose the Son of God was manifested [obeying the Father in all things], that he might destroy the works of the devil.*" 1 John 3:8 *"Finally, my brethren, be strong in the Lord, and in the power of his might. Put on the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil [the seduction, deception, and manipulation of socio-psychologists]. For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places. Wherefore take unto you the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand. Stand therefore, having your loins girt about with truth, and having on the breastplate of righteousness; And your feet shod with the preparation of the gospel of peace; Above all, taking the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked. And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God: Praying always with all prayer and supplication in the Spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance and supplication for all saints;"* Ephesians 6:10-18

When men, who claim to be ministers of the word of God, use psychology to "grow" the church (basing it's "growth" upon "human relationship," i.e. upon how people are "feeling" and what they are "thinking" in the 'moment,' i.e. about themselves, others, and the world) they are doing nothing more than turning the bride of Christ into a whore, prostituting her on the street corners of the world. Woe be to that man who uses the bride of Christ for his own gain! If community is founded upon 'compromise' and the church on no compromise (obedience to the Father and the Son), then what is a "community church" other than an organization (an institution) of man's own making, based upon a religion of ['compromise.'](#)

The art craft of *seduction, deception, and manipulation* (of psychology) is so great these days that no one can stop it. *"The consequences of family democratization [‘liberation’ of the child's thoughts and actions from parental authority] take a long time to make themselves felt—but it would be difficult to reverse the process once begun."* *"Once the parent can in any way imagine his own orientation to be a possible liability to the child in the world approaching the authoritarian family is moribund, regardless of whatever countermeasures may be taken."* (Warren Bennis, *The Temporary Society*) Like Pandora's box, once it is opened, i.e. made the law of the land, accepted by the citizens, i.e. by the parents as a legitimate "profession," it can not be closed, with all the evils of the heart of man pouring out, now under it's "control." As Carl Rogers confessed in his book *on becoming a person: A Therapist View of Psychotherapy*: *"In psychology, Freud and his followers have presented convincing arguments that the id [the child's impulses and urges of the 'moment'], man's basic and unconscious nature, is primarily made up of instincts which would, if permitted expression, result in incest, murder, and other crimes. The whole problem of therapy, as seen by this group, is how to hold these untamed forces in*

*check in a wholesome and constructive manner, rather than in the costly fashion of the neurotic."*

Why would you want to "hold "incest, murder, and other crimes" "in check" for the "betterment" of society, rather than "repress" them, i.e. defending parental authority, unless you wanted to use crime to gain access into the "*community of interest*" for the 'purpose' of 'change," i.e. for the purpose of controlling the people. Robert Trojanowicz (the creator of COPS, Community Oriented Policing Services) recognized the *importance* of crime in that it allowed socialist, i.e. the "*community policing officer*" (serving and protecting the "community concept," i.e. common-ism, i.e. the "collective," not the individual citizen of the community) access into the community, i.e. to gain access to and thereby gain control over the citizens thoughts and actions through the use of the *consensus* process, circumventing local control (parental control) of the community, i.e. *negating* the traditional parent's "top-down" way of doing business. "*The community of interest generated by crime, disorder and fear of crime becomes the goal to allow the community policing officer an entree into the geographic community.*" Trojanowicz, Dr. Robert, *The meaning of "Community" in Community Policing*) As the guru for globalism and environmentalism, Irvin Laszlo stated it: "*Individual values must be measured by their contribution to common interests and ultimately to world interests.... transforming public consensus into one favorable to the emergence of a stable and humanistic world order.*" "*Consensus [the circumventing of parental, i.e. local control] is both a personal and a political step. It is a precondition of all future steps...*" (Irvin Laszlo, *A Strategy for the Future: The Systems Approach to World Order*) "*The central problem of democracy is the formation of a somewhat vaguely defined 'postconventional' consensus through which everyone affected by a decision must be able to participate in reaching it [through the dialoguing of their opinions, i.e. how they "feel" and what they "think" in the given 'moment' to a "feeling" of "oneness," i.e. "common-ism," i.e. circumventing parental authority, i.e. *negating* Godly restraint in their thoughts and actions].*" (Stephen Eric Bronner, *Of Critical Theory and Its Theorists*) When you do not reprove, correct, or rebuke deviancy, deviancy becomes the "norm."

Before psychology the schools had to deal with gum under the student's desks (with student's having a "guilty conscience" hiding it), now it has to deal with shootings (with student's who have no "guilty conscience" for their thoughts and actions). Instead of restoring order by restoring the use of the paddle and expulsion for deviant behavior (returning to parental authority) it finds the solution by removing guns from the citizens hands, engendering a police state instead. You do not want people who have no "guilty conscience," i.e. who have no fear of God (or parental authority) for their thoughts and actions, running around with guns. Nor do you want people who have a "guilty conscience" to have them as well, defending the "old" world order, i.e. their right of family, property, business, and life (the Father's authority). "*The guilty conscience is formed in childhood by the incorporation of the parents and the wish to be father of*

*oneself." "What we call 'conscience' perpetuates inside of us our bondage to past objects now part of ourselves: the super-ego 'unites in itself the influences of the present and of the past [the child's carnal desires of the past which were restrained (repressed) by parental authority and his hatred against parental authority which restrains them in the present].'" (Brown) "Social control is most effective at the individual level. The personal conscience is the key element in ensuring self-control, refraining from deviant behavior even when it can be easily perpetrated." "The family, the next most important unit affecting social control, is obviously instrumental in the initial formation of the conscience and in the continued reinforcement of the values that encourage law abiding behavior." (Dr. Robert Trojanowicz, *The meaning of "Community" in Community Policing*)*

Bennis admitted the effect psychology (i.e. agencies under its influence and control) would have upon the traditional "top-down" family, i.e. *negating* it, i.e. 'liberating' the children from the effects parental authority have upon their thoughts and actions. *"Any non-family-based collectivity [or dialectically (socialist) based society, i.e. Child Protective Services] that intervenes between parent and child and attempts to regulate and modify the parent-child relationship will have a democratizing ['liberating' the children from parental authority] impact on that relationship. For however much the state or community may wish to inculcate obedience and submission in the child, its intervention betrays a lack of confidence in the only objects from whom a small child can learn authoritarian submission [the parents], ... [By changing the focus from parental authority to the child's "well-being," society is changed, its focus now becoming] an overweening interest in the future development of the child-- in other words, a child centered orientation."* (Warren Bennis, *The Temporary Society*)

Instead of removing psychology (hate of the Father's authority) from the community the Father's authority is being removed instead (replacing the student's "guilty conscience," i.e. accountability to parental authority with the "super-ego," i.e. accountability to the flesh, to the "group," i.e. to society, to the psychologist, i.e., to psychological manipulation), all done to 'liberate' the child's "feelings" and "thoughts," i.e. his actions from parental authority (and man's carnal "feelings," thoughts and actions from Godly restraint). The dialectic theory being: Anyone having a "guilty conscience" for their wicked thoughts and actions, who then reproves, corrects, and rebukes others for their wicked thoughts and actions need's psychological counseling, i.e., to remove the "guilty conscience" (the Father's authority) from the "community," (*negating righteousness* as an issue of life) all for the sake of creating a "better" society, i.e., a "healthy" environment so the psychologist can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., can *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates without having a *guilty conscience*, i.e., without being held accountable. God created the world, and called it good. Then Satan (the first psychological counselor) came along and "made it better." Since then man has been working to make the world a "better" place, following after the ways of the psychoanalyst,

who is following after the master psychoanalyst, i.e. following after the ways of the world ('justifying' his carnal nature) instead of seeking after the Lord (repenting of his sins).

*"The most important symptom of the defeat in the fight for oneself [the indicator of a persons inability to 'liberate' himself (his "self") from the Father's authority, i.e. from the Lord God and the Lord Jesus Christ] is the guilty conscience." (Erich Fromm, *Escape from Freedom*) While psychologists recognize the effect of the "guilty conscience" (the Father's authority), i.e. restraining "deviant" behavior, they can not let it exist, because, if they did, they, along with their profession (defending deviant behavior, i.e. 'liberating' abomination), would **"collapse into a bottomless abyss,"** i.e., be guilty for their sins. *"If the 'restoring of life' of the world is to be conceived in terms of the Christian revelation, then Marx [i.e. sociology, Hegel, i.e. philosophy, Freud, i.e. psychology, etc.] must collapse into a bottomless abyss."* (Jürgen Habermas, *Theory and Practice*)*

*"Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things. Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves: Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen. For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature: And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet. And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient; Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, Backbiters, haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, Without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful: Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them." Romans 1:21-32*

*"Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition; Who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped; so that he as God sitteth in the temple of God, shewing himself that he is God. Remember ye not, that, when I was yet with you, I told you these things? And now ye know what withholdeth that he might be revealed in his time. For the mystery of iniquity doth already work: only he who now letteth will let, until he be taken out of the way. And then shall that Wicked be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with*



*the brightness of his coming: Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders, And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness."* 2 Thessalonians 2:3-12

The word of God declares: *"For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears;"* 2 Timothy 4:3 1 *"Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils;"* 1 Timothy 4:1 *"But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtlety [through the seduction, deception, and manipulation of counseling, i.e. through the facilitating of 'change, i.e. putting [Genesis 3:1-6](#) into 'praxis' 'liberating' the flesh, i.e. negating the Father's authority, i.e. [Hebrews 12:5-11](#), 'justifying' the child's nature, i.e. "human nature," i.e. negating man's need for salvation from the power of sin and the wrath of God upon him for his praxis of it, i.e. dialectic 'reasoning' (psychology) saves us from eternal life, that we might "enjoy" the "lusts" of this life, inheriting eternal death instead, i.e. 'redeeming' us from [Romans 7:14-25](#)], so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ [in His obedience to His Heavenly Father in all things]." 2 Corinthians 11:3* The first time dialectic 'reasoning' was put into praxis is recorded in Genesis 3:1-6, where the woman, under the facilitating (psychological counseling) skills of Satan, became the first "environmentalist," i.e. the first "tree hugger," with Adam, following after the 'reasoning' of the woman, i.e. basing 'reality' upon "feelings," i.e. "human nature" and "human reasoning," abdicated his God given authority and become the first "humanists," i.e. choosing the woman (feminism, i.e. "human relationship") over and therefore against [God's word](#).

*"For as by one man's disobedience many were made sinners, so by the obedience of one shall many be made righteous."* Romans 5:19

The only begotten Son of God, Christ Jesus, was sent by His Heavenly Father, took on the form of man (became as a child before his father) to obey His Heavenly Father's commands without question, doing for us what we could not do for ourselves, living without sin, i.e. living without once disobeying His Heavenly Father's will, dying on the cross, taking our place for our sins, i.e. 'redeeming' us from His Heavenly Father's wrath upon us, i.e. 'redeeming' us from damnation, i.e. from spending eternity in Hell for our sins, i.e. for our loving of "human nature" over and against His Father's authority, the Father raising Him from the grave, 'reconciling' us to Himself that we might partake in His Holiness, i.e. spend eternity with Him in His glory, *imputing His righteousness*, i.e. His Son's obedience to Him, to us, for placing our faith in Him instead of the world, i.e. instead of "human nature," filling us with the Holy Spirit that we might do His will, i.e. His Heavenly Father's will while here on earth (as He did). *"I can of mine own self do*

*nothing: as I hear, I judge: and my judgment is just; because I seek not mine own will, but the will of the Father which hath sent me." John 5:30 "For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father who sent me, he gave me commandment what I should say, and what I should speak." John 12:49*

*"For we ourselves also were sometimes foolish, disobedient, deceived, serving divers lusts and pleasures, living in malice and envy, hateful, and hating one another. But after that the kindness and love of God our Saviour toward man appeared, Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Spirit; Which he shed on us abundantly through Jesus Christ our Saviour; That being justified by his grace, we should be made heirs according to the hope of eternal life." Titus 3:3-7*

The gospel message is about the Father's love for us (His mercy and grace), i.e. the Father sending His only begotten Son to die on the cross to 'redeem' us from damnation and then raising Him from the grave to 'reconcile' us to Him. While the prodigal son thought his father's money was what life was all about, in the end he learned that it was his father's love for him. While sociology and psychology *negates* the Father's authority, there is no gospel message without the Father's authority (without the Father's authority it only becomes a socialist gospel, i.e. of and for the world only). The gospel message is the Son's love of His Father and His obedience to His Father's will, i.e. His *righteousness* being *imputed* to us (who follow Him by faith), redeeming us from His Father's judgment upon us, 'reconciling' us to Himself, i.e. to His Father, that we might have eternal life —"*and truly our fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ.*" 1 John 3:1 But if we are infatuated with the "lusts" of this world (with ourselves, others, and the world), i.e. how we "feel" and what we "think" (and how others "feel" and what they "think") in the 'moment,' having no "guilty conscience" for our thoughts and actions (no conviction and contrition and therefore not need for repentance before the Father for our sinful thoughts and actions, i.e. our carnal "felt needs" taking *hegemony*), then the Father becomes anathema, i.e. the *antithesis* to life, i.e. the "barrier," i.e. the object to overcome and *negate*. The *only* 'drive' and 'purpose' of psychology, despite what psychoanalysts, i.e. *seducers, deceivers, and manipulators* of children might claim, i.e. they want you to believe that they are for the "family," is to *negate* the Father's authority in the thoughts and actions of all the children of the world. Even Martin Luther, the founder of [the Protestant Reformation](#) understood the issue for man was *righteousness* (doing the Father's will), not *sensuousness* (man doing as he wills, thinking, feeling, and acting according to his carnal "felt needs," i.e. "lusting" after "the 'moment'"). "*Then said Jesus unto his disciples, If any man will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross, and follow me. For whosoever will save his life shall lose it: and whosoever will lose his life for my sake shall find it. For what is a man profited, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul? or what shall a man give in exchange for his soul?"* Matthew 16:24-26

Consider the following:

*"For whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother." Matthew 12:50 "Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my father which is in heaven." Matthew 7:21 "Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me." John 14:6*

*"Not that any man hath seen the Father, save he which is of God, he hath seen the Father." John 6:46 "All things are delivered to me of my Father: and no man knoweth who the Son is, but the Father; and who the Father is, but the Son, and he to whom the Son will reveal him." Luke 10:22 Jesus declare: "I and my Father are one." John 10:30 "Jesus saith unto him, Have I been so long time with you, and yet hast thou not known me, Philip? he that hath seen me hath seen the Father; and how sayest thou then, Shew us the Father?" John 14:9*

*"Behold, what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called the sons of God: therefore the world knoweth us not, because it knew him not. Beloved, now are we the sons of God, and it doth not yet appear what we shall be: but we know that, when he shall appear, we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is." 1 John 3:1, 2*

*"Then said Jesus unto his disciples, If any man will come after me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross, and follow me." Matthew 16:24 "For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God; not of works, lest any man should boast." Ephesians. 2:8, 9 "Casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ;" 2 Corinthians 10:5 "and truly our fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ." "He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son." 1 John 3:1, 22*

There is no such thing as a Christian psychologist, only a *seduced, deceived, and manipulated* person *seducing, deceiving, and manipulating* all who come before him or her, i.e., men, women, and children (including Christians) into *negating* the Father's authority, embracing abomination ("human nature," i.e., *lust*) as the way of life, evaluating their life and the lives of others according to the "light" (pleasures) of this world, i.e. according to "human nature," according to their love of the world.

*"And what concord hath Christ with Belial? or what part hath he that believeth with an infidel? And what agreement hath the temple of God with idols? for ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you, And will*

*be a Father unto you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty." 2 Corinthians 6:15-18*

*"As we said before, so say I now again, If any man preach any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed. For do I now persuade men, or God? or do I seek to please men? for if I yet pleased men, I should not be the servant of Christ. But I certify you, brethren, that the gospel which was preached of me is not after man [according to men's opinions]. For I neither received it of man [through dialogue], neither was I taught it, but by the revelation of Jesus Christ." Galatians 1:9-12*

Facilitators of 'change,' i.e., psychologists, i.e., behavioral "scientists," i.e., "group psychotherapists," i.e., Marxists (Transformational Marxists)—all being the same in method or formula—are using the dialoguing of opinions to a consensus (affirmation) process, i.e., dialectic 'reasoning' ('reasoning' from/through the students "feelings" of the 'moment,' i.e., from/through their "lust" for pleasure and their hate of restraint, in the "light" of their desire for group approval, i.e., affirmation and fear of group rejection) in the "group grade," "safe zone/space/place," "Don't be negative, be positive," soviet style, brainwashing (washing the father's/Father's authority from the children's thoughts and actions, i.e., "theory and practice," negating their having a guilty conscience, which the father's/father's authority engenders, for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning in the process—called "the negation of negation" since the father's/Father's authority and the guilty conscience, being negative to the child's carnal nature, is negated in dialogue—in dialogue, opinion, and the consensus process there is no father's/Father's authority), inductive 'reasoning' ('reasoning' from/through the students "feelings," i.e., their natural inclination to "lust" after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment'—dopamine emancipation—which the world stimulates, i.e., their "self interest," i.e., their "sense experience," selecting "appropriate information"—excluding, ignoring, or resisting, i.e., rejecting any "inappropriate" information, i.e., established command, rule, fact, or truth that gets in the way of their desired outcome, i.e., pleasure—in determining right from wrong behavior), "Bloom's Taxonomy," "affective domain," French Revolution (Liberté, Égalité, Fraternité) classroom "environment" in order (as in "new" world order) to 'liberate' children from parental authority, i.e., from the father's/Father's authority system (the Patriarchal Paradigm)—seducing, deceiving, and manipulating them as chickens, rats, and dogs, i.e., treating them as natural resource ("human resource") in order to convert them into 'liberals,' socialists, globalists, so they, 'justifying' their "self" before one another, can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., "lust" with impunity.

*"Thus saith the LORD, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls. But they said, We will not walk therein. Also I set watchmen over you, saying, Harken to the sound of the trumpet. But they said, We will not hearken." Jeremiah 6:16, 17*

Home schooling material, co-ops, conferences, etc., are joining in the same praxis, fulfilling Immanuel Kant's as well as Georg Hegel's, Karl Marx's, and Sigmund Freud's agenda of using the pattern or method of Genesis 3:1-6, i.e., "self" 'justification,' i.e., dialectic (*dialogue*) 'reasoning,' i.e., 'reasoning' from/through your "*feelings*," i.e., your carnal desires of the 'moment' which are being stimulated by the world (including your desire for approval from others, with them *affirming* your carnal nature) in order to *negate* Hebrews 12:5-11, i.e., the father's/Father's authority, i.e., having to *humble, deny, die to, control, discipline* your "self" in order to do the father's/Father's will, *negating* Romans 7:14-25, i.e., your having a *guilty conscience* when you do wrong, disobey, sin, thereby *negating* your having to repent before the father/Father for your doing wrong, disobedience, sins—which is the real agenda.

*"And for this cause [because men, as "children of disobedience," 'justify' their "self," i.e., 'justify' their love of "self" and the world, i.e., their love of the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' (*dopamine emancipation*) which the world stimulates over and therefore against the Father's authority] God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie [that pleasure is the standard for "*good*" instead of doing the Father's will]: That they all might be damned who believed not the truth [in the Father and in His Son, Jesus Christ], but had pleasure in unrighteousness [in their "self" and the pleasures of the 'moment,' which the world stimulates]." 2 Thessalonians 2:11, 12*

© *Institution for Authority Research*, Dean Gotcher 2014-2021 (2023-03-18)